### Neural Scene Representations and Differentiable Rendering

Michael Niemeyer

Autonomous Vision Group MPI for Intelligent Systems and University of Tübingen Neural Scene Representations for 3D Reconstruction



Input Images











## Can we learn 3D reconstruction from data?

### 3D Datasets and Repositories



[Newcombe et al., 2011]



[Wu et al., 2015]



[Choi et al., 2011]



[Dai et al., 2017]



[Chang et al., 2015]



[Chang et al., 2017]

### 3D Reconstruction from a 2D Image







Input Images

Neural Network

**3D** Reconstruction

# What is a good output representation?

#### Voxels:

- ► Discretization of 3D space into grid
- ► Easy to process with neural networks
- Cubic memory  $O(n^3) \Rightarrow$  limited resolution
- Manhattan world bias

[Maturana et al., IROS 2015]





#### Points:

- ► Discretization of surface into 3D points
- Does not model connectivity / topology
- Limited number of points
- ► Global shape description

[Fan et al., CVPR 2017]





#### Meshes:

- ► Discretization into vertices and faces
- ► Limited number of vertices / granularity
- Requires class-specific template or –
- ► Leads to self-intersections

[Groueix et al., CVPR 2018]





### This work:

- ► Implicit representation ⇒ No discretization
- ► Arbitrary topology & resolution
- ► Low memory footprint
- ► Not restricted to specific class





### Key Idea:

► Do not represent 3D shape explicitly

### Key Idea:

- ► Do not represent 3D shape explicitly
- Instead, consider surface implicitly as decision boundary of a non-linear classifier:





### Key Idea:

- ► Do not represent 3D shape explicitly
- Instead, consider surface implicitly as decision boundary of a non-linear classifier:



### **Remarks:**

• The function  $f_{\theta}$  models an **occupancy field** 



### Key Idea:

- ► Do not represent 3D shape explicitly
- Instead, consider surface implicitly as decision boundary of a non-linear classifier:



### Remarks:

- The function  $f_{\theta}$  models an **occupancy field**
- ► Also possible: signed distance field [Park et al., 2019]



### Network Architecture



### **Training Objective**

#### **Occupancy Network:**

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \psi) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathsf{BCE}(f_{\theta}(p_{ij}, z_i), o_{ij})$$

- K: Randomly sampled 3D points (K = 2048)
- ► BCE: Cross-entropy loss

## Training Objective

### Variational Occupancy Encoder:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \psi) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathsf{BCE}(f_{\theta}(p_{ij}, z_i), o_{ij}) + KL\left[q_{\psi}(z | (p_{ij}, o_{ij})_{j=1:K}) \| p_0(z)\right]$$

- K: Randomly sampled 3D points (K = 2048)
- ► BCE: Cross-entropy loss
- ►  $q_{\psi}$ : Encoder



#### Multiresolution IsoSurface Extraction (MISE):



#### Multiresolution IsoSurface Extraction (MISE):



#### Multiresolution IsoSurface Extraction (MISE):



#### Multiresolution IsoSurface Extraction (MISE):



#### Multiresolution IsoSurface Extraction (MISE):



#### Multiresolution IsoSurface Extraction (MISE):

- Build octree by incrementally querying the occupancy network
- Extract triangular mesh using marching cubes algorithm (1-3 seconds in total)

Results



Mescheder, Oechsle, Niemeyer, Nowozin and Geiger: Occupancy Networks: Learning 3D Reconstruction in Function Space. CVPR, 2019.

Results



# Applications

### Appearance



### Motion



Niemeyer, Mescheder, Oechsle and Geiger: Occupancy Flow: 4D Reconstruction by Learning Particle Dynamics. ICCV, 2019.

### 3D Scenes



### Differentiable Rendering



19
# Differentiable Surface Rendering













Forward Pass (Rendering)

#### Forward Pass:

► For all pixels **u** 



- $\blacktriangleright\,$  For all pixels  ${\bf u}$
- Find surface point p̂ along ray w via ray marching and root finding (secant method)



- $\blacktriangleright\,$  For all pixels  ${\bf u}$
- Find surface point p̂ along ray w via ray marching and root finding (secant method)



#### Forward Pass:

- $\blacktriangleright\,$  For all pixels  ${\bf u}$
- Find surface point p̂ along ray w via ray marching and root finding (secant method)



- $\blacktriangleright\,$  For all pixels  ${\bf u}$
- Find surface point p̂ along ray w via ray marching and root finding (secant method)



- $\blacktriangleright\,$  For all pixels  ${\bf u}$
- Find surface point p̂ along ray w via ray marching and root finding (secant method)



- $\blacktriangleright\,$  For all pixels  ${\bf u}$
- Find surface point p̂ along ray w via ray marching and root finding (secant method)
- Evaluate texture field  $\mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$  at  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$



- $\blacktriangleright\,$  For all pixels  ${\bf u}$
- Find surface point p̂ along ray w via ray marching and root finding (secant method)
- Evaluate texture field  $\mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$  at  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$
- ► Insert color  $\mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$  at pixel  $\mathbf{u}$





► Image Observation I



- ► Image Observation I
- $\blacktriangleright$  Loss  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\hat{I}},\mathbf{I}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \|\mathbf{\hat{I}_u} \mathbf{I_u}\|$



- ► Image Observation I
- $\blacktriangleright$  Loss  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\hat{I}},\mathbf{I}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \|\mathbf{\hat{I}}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{u}}\|$
- ► Gradient of loss function:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} & = & \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} & = & \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} \end{array}$$



- ► Image Observation I
- $\blacktriangleright$  Loss  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\hat{I}},\mathbf{I}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \|\mathbf{\hat{I}_u} \mathbf{I_u}\|$
- ► Gradient of loss function:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} & = & \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} \\ \\ \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} & = & \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} \end{array}$$

• Differentiation of  $f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau$  yields:  $\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = -\mathbf{w} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta}$ 



- ► Image Observation I
- $\blacktriangleright$  Loss  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\hat{I}},\mathbf{I}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \|\mathbf{\hat{I}_u} \mathbf{I_u}\|$
- ► Gradient of loss function:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta}$$
$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta}$$

• Differentiation of  $f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau$  yields:  $\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = -\mathbf{w} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta}$ 



- ► Image Observation I
- $\blacktriangleright$  Loss  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\hat{I}},\mathbf{I}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \|\mathbf{\hat{I}_u} \mathbf{I_u}\|$
- ► Gradient of loss function:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} & = & \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} & = & \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} \end{array}$$

• Differentiation of  $f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau$  yields:  $\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = -\mathbf{w} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta}$ 



- ► Image Observation I
- $\blacktriangleright$  Loss  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\hat{I}},\mathbf{I}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \|\mathbf{\hat{I}_u} \mathbf{I_u}\|$
- ► Gradient of loss function:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} & = & \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \theta} & = & \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} \end{array}$$

► Differentiation of  $f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \tau$  yields:  $\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial \theta} = -\mathbf{w} \left( \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}} \cdot \mathbf{w} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \theta}$ 



#### ⇒ Analytic solution and no need for storing intermediate results

#### Results

#### DVR allows for 3D reconstruction from multi-view images of real scenes



### Implicit Differentiable Renderer



#### Related work by Lipman et al.:

- Condition on surface normal and view direction for view-dependent appearance
- Optimize geometry, appearance and **camera poses**

# Differentiable Volume Rendering

#### Novel View Synthesis



**Task:** Given a set of images of a scene (left), render novel viewpoints (right)

### NeRF: Representing Scenes as Neural Radiance Fields



- Vanilla ReLU MLP that maps from location/view direction to color/density
- **Density**  $\sigma$  describes how solid/transparent a 3D point is (can model, e.g., fog)
- ► Conditioning on view direction allows for modeling view-dependent effects

### Volume Rendering

Rendering model for ray r(t) = o + td:



How much light is blocked earlier along ray:

$$T_i = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_j)$$

How much light is contributed by ray segment *i*:

$$\alpha_i = 1 - e^{-\sigma_i \delta t_i}$$



### NeRF Training



► Shoot ray, render ray to pixel, minimize reconstruction error via backpropagation

#### Fourier Features



NeRF (Naive)

NeRF (with positional encoding)

Essential trick: Compute **positional encoding** for input point x and direction d

#### Results

NeRF achieves impressive view synthesis:



Tancik et al.: Fourier Features Let Networks Learn High Frequency Functions in Low Dimensional Domains. NeurIPS, 2020.

# Scaling to Real-World Scenarios

#### Scaling to Real-World Scenarios



► In NeRF, many input images are assumed to be given

### Scaling to Real-World Scenarios



► In the real world, we often have only **sparse inputs** 

How can we make NeRF work for sparse input scenarios?






✓ Input Views



Unobserved Views



Niemeyer, Barron, Mildenhall, Sajjadi, Geiger, Radwan: RegNeRF: Regularizing Neural Radiance Fields for View Synthesis from Sparse Inputs. CVPR, 2022.





Niemeyer, Barron, Mildenhall, Sajjadi, Geiger, Radwan: RegNeRF: Regularizing Neural Radiance Fields for View Synthesis from Sparse Inputs. CVPR, 2022.



We perform scene space annealing over first iterations to avoid degenerate solutions:



In summary, the **key ideas** of RegNeRF are

- 1. Regularizing the geometry prediction of unseen viewpoints
- 2. Regularizing the appearance prediction of unseen viewpoints
- 3. Performing scene space annealing over the first iterations

Comparison mipNeRF and RegNeRF for three input images:



Comparison mipNeRF and RegNeRF for three input images:



Comparison mipNeRF and RegNeRF for three input images:



Performance wrt. the number of input views:



#### **Ablation Study**



# Summary

#### Summary

- Occupancy Networks: neural fields are a powerful 3D representation
- ► DVR: neural fields can be inferred from 2D supervision via differentiable rendering
- ► RegNeRF: Regularization allows to scale to real-world scenarios

#### Limitations

- ► Incorporating compositional scene understanding
- Scaling to more cluttered real-world scenes
- ► Faster training and inference for sparse input scenarios